# City of Las Vegas

#### AGENDA MEMO

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: JANUARY 9, 2008
DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-24824 - APPLICANT/OWNER: DECATUR CROSSING

**CENTER, LLC** 

# \*\* CONDITIONS \*\*

The Planning Commission (6-1/ld vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

#### Planning and Development

1. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

# **Public Works**

2. The proposed pylon sign shall not be located within the public right-of-way or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones. The proposed ground mounted base shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements.

# \*\* STAFF REPORT \*\*

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This request is for a Variance to allow a Freestanding Sign height of 75 feet with an area of 1,012 square feet where 40 feet and 404 square feet is the maximum allowed on 16.8 acres at 202-290 South Decatur Boulevard. This sign is located within a retail center and will replace an existing sign of approximately 40 feet in height. Staff can not support this request, as it is a self imposed hardship.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.			
04/18/79	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Rezoning (Z-0024-79) from R-1 (Single-Family Residential) to C-1 (Limited Commercial). Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.		
12/16/81	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Plot Plan Review [Z-0024-79(1)] for a 200,400 square foot Shopping Center. Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.		
11/17/99	The City Council approved a Site Development Plan Review [Z-0024-79(2)] for a 5,093 square foot expansion to an existing Retail Store (Target). Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.		
11/29/07	The Planning Commission voted 6-1/ld to recommend DENIAL (PC Agenda Item #39/ed).		
Related Building Permits/Business Licenses			
06/20/91	A business license (B05-1951247301) was issued for a Cosmetology Establishment at 270 South Decatur Boulevard.		
03/03/93	A business license (R09-462651344) was issued for a Restaurant – Seating 45 or More at 222 South Decatur Boulevard.		
12/14/93	A business license (B08-53271070) was issued for Beauty and Cosmetic Sales at 262 South Decatur Boulevard.		
07/10/96	A business license (B05-204271070) was issued for a Cosmetology Establishment at 262 South Decatur Boulevard.		
02/14/97	A business license (M03-26271070) was issued for a Message Establishment at 268 South Decatur Boulevard.		
12/11/97	A business license (M12-69677154) was issued for a Message Therapist – Independent Contractor at 268 South Decatur Boulevard.		
05/05/98	A business license (C07-2338479745) was issued for a Clothing store at 220 South Decatur Boulevard.		

12/22/99	A business license (M12-350686074) was issued for a Message Therapist –		
	Independent Contractor at 268 South Decatur Boulevard.		
12/22/00	A business license D16-16334112 was issued for a Department Store and at		
	278 South Decatur Boulevard.		
11/14/02	A business license (T11-8995107987) was issued for Television and Aud		
	Sales/Service at 220 South Decatur Boulevard Suite #106.		
08/16/04	A business license (Q01-5745118542) was issued for a Real Estate Brokerage		
	at 220 South Decatur Boulevard Suite #105.		
08/19/04	A business license (D16-1252118545) was issued for a Department Store at		
	230 South Decatur Boulevard		
10/19/05	A business license (H02-1515H107987) was issued for Handbill and Oral		
	Solicitation at 220 South Decatur Boulevard.		
10/31/05	A business license (F14-61D121016) was issued for an Auto Title Loan		
	Company at 282 South Decatur Boulevard.		
11/20/06	A business license (B08-1164547301) was issued for Beauty and Cosmetic		
	Sales at 270 South Decatur Boulevard.		
08/31/07	A business license (F02-305H136684) was issued for a Finance Company at		
	282 South Decatur Boulevard.		
Pre-Application Meeting			
09/26/07	The sign standards of Title 19 were discussed with applicant. The applicant's		
	proposed sign requires a Variance for height and for square footage. Also		
	advised applicant of submittal process and required documents.		
Neighborhood I	Meeting		
A neighborhood	l meeting is not required for this application, nor was one held.		

Details of Application Request		
Site Area		
Gross Acres	16.8	

<b>Surrounding Property</b>	<b>Existing Land Use</b>	<b>Planned Land Use</b>	<b>Existing Zoning</b>
	Retail Shopping	SC (Service	C-2 (General
Subject Property	Center	Commercial)	Commercial)
			R-1 (Single-Family
		L (Low Density	Residential), P-R
	Single Family	Residential), O	(Professional Office
North	homes, Office	(Office)	and Parking)
		SC (Service	
		Commercial), GC	C-2 (General
South	Auto Body Shop	(General Commercial	Commercial)
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited
East	Retail Center	Commercial)	Commercial)

		MLA (Medium Low	R-PD11 (Residential
		Attached Density	Planned Development
West	Condominiums	Residential)	– 11 units per acre)

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Area Plan		X	
Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts	X		
A-O (Airport Overlay) District	X		Yes
Trails		X	N/A
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X	N/A
<b>Development Impact Notification Assessment</b>		X	N/A
Project of Regional Significance		X	N/A

Freestanding Signs: [type in sign reference]			
Standards	Allowed	Provided	
Maximum Number	1 / 200 linear feet of	1 / 202 feet	
	street frontage		
Maximum Area	404 SF.	1,012 SF. (250.5% deviation)	
Maximum Height	40 Feet	75 Feet (87.5% deviation)	
Minimum Setback	5 Feet	5 Feet	
Illumination	Internal, External,	Internal	
	Animated or Electric		

#### **ANALYSIS**

The applicant requests a Variance to allow a Freestanding Sign height of 75 feet with an area of 1,012 square feet. This increased height is a deviation of 87.5% over the maximum allowed height of 40 feet and a 250.5% deviation in the maximum allowed square footage of 404 feet. This proposed sign is replacing an already Title 19 conforming pylon sign with a height of 40 feet. Staff cannot support this request, because it is a self imposed hardship.

#### **FINDINGS**

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;

3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing to construct a sign 35 feet higher than Title 19 requirements allow. The existing sign height and area allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

# NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS NOTIFIED 25

**ASSEMBLY DISTRICT** 3

**SENATE DISTRICT** 3

**NOTICES MAILED** 534 by City Clerk

APPROVALS 6

PROTESTS 13

CONCERNS 1